

Community Asset Transfer Notice of Representations Received

Regulations 8 and 9 of The Asset Transfer Request (Procedure)(Scotland) Regulations 2016 require a relevant authority (Renfrewshire Council) to forward representations received on an asset transfer request to the transfer body and to permit the transfer body to comment on said representations and then to publish on a website any representations or comments made.

This document contains all of the representations and responses received in relation to the asset transfer request for

Football Pitch and area of new Changing Facility, Lochwinnoch Park

by

Calderglen Community SCIO

Date of Request: 09/06/2026

Consultation Period: 11 June 2026 – 13 July 2026

NOTE – Only representations provided with a full name and address have been included. All personal or identifying information has been redacted.

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Community Asset Transfer](#)
Subject: Calderglen CAT Request Representation
Date: 14 June 2026 07:25:26
Attachments: [external.png](#)

 External email >

I read the proposals by Calderglen FC for the SCIO. I suggests that Renfrewshire Council offer Calderglen a lease for the land for 10years or less

My concern is that if the organisation (Calderglen) leasing the land with a 75 year lease ceases to exist, gets wound up, or goes into liquidation the land may pass on to another party and cease being a benefit to the community

Cheers

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Sent from my iPhone

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Community Asset Transfer](#)
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: Calderglen CAT Request Representation
Date: 29 June 2026 15:31:03
Attachments: [external.png](#)

#2

 External email >

[REDACTED]
Calderglen CAT Request Representation

1. Extra fencing restrictions. I do object to the extensions of the fencing around the pitch area which have been already installed without proper permission. One extension to the fencing blocks off access to a section of the riverbank and adjacent ground. Also, access along the bottom of the bank alongside the cyclepath is blocked by a short extension of the fence.

The miserably small scale of the published maps makes this difficult to see in the application documents. Both these are unnecessary restrictions on public access to the area. My interests (and of others) is in enjoying the birds and wildlife of the R Calder along this section, which I am currently deprived of for no real reason.

2. The blue Portacabin alongside the Annex. is very visually detrimental to the general aspect of the park as well as being placed in a very unattractive location beside the Annex building. I strongly object to the application for a '75 years lease of the ground currently occupied by this object alongside the Annex. That expires in the year 2101!

These policies, from your own documentation :-

- Short or medium-term lease to CTB for delivery of community benefit activity;
 - Long-term lease to CTB, 10 years plus, for delivery of community benefit activity;
- could be applied in preference without causing any inconvenience to the applicants.

My view is that a provisional permission to site the Portacabin in that location should only be granted by the Council with a clear plan indicating a specific plan and timing accordingly.

A much preferable option would be to arrange for the Football Club to have proper use of the Annex building by agreement with the current leaseholder and the Council.

3. CAT advertising. I object to the inadequate way this current application has been advertised. I think only four notices were displayed around the park. I believe that the advertising of this CAT does not meet the regulations. No posters displayed on the Portacabin or on the Annex, adjacent streets. Only a very few stuck on parts of the fencing and one on a lighting pole none on the village noticeboard. No public consultation conducted the by Lochwinnoch Community Council. Despite the 'consultation' blunders which stopped the previous CAT, the current application was given only the statutory minimum period of 20 days. To me this is a clear indication of a lack of interest by Renfrewshire Council in ensuring a proper level of public consultation.

4. Shambolic process. I object to the way this process has been conducted from the start. The Council's very poor management of the process, poor guidance to the Calderglen Club, the way the first application was managed, the inadequate public consultation and the subsequent tensions caused in the local community by this should not have happened. The publicity/ public information attached to the current application is also inadequate. Even the title of this CAT application. It should give the location of the application, not the name of the applicant!

Sadly, I have little expectation that Renfrewshire Council is capable of any better.

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Community Asset Transfer](#)
Subject: Calderglen CAT request representation
Date: 29 June 2026 22:15:18
Attachments: [external.png](#)

 External email >

Hi there,

I would like to put in writing my support for the above CAT application. I think this would benefit the younger people of the village and hopefully provide more opportunities for children to be involved in football.

Many thanks,

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Sent from my iPhone

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Community Asset Transfer](#)
Subject: Calderglen CAT Request Representation
Date: 01 July 2026 13:14:16
Attachments: [external.png](#)

 External email >

[REDACTED]

I really hope the CAT application is successful this time. The charity has done some tremendous work through out the community. Aiding the Gala day this past two years, and in attendance in previous years.

The changes made to the application from last year really shouldn't be a stumbling block anymore as they have listened and changed it since the last attempt.

I am all in favour of it passing and look forward to getting football back in the village again and cheering on the team.

Regards [REDACTED].

From: [REDACTED]
To: communityassettransfer@remfrewshire.gov.uk; [Community Asset Transfer](#)
Subject: Calderglen CAT request representation
Date: 01 July 2026 22:06:33
Attachments: [external.png](#)

 External email >

hello I am a local resident and live in lochwinnoch village
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

I have two young children and use the park in Lochwinnoch often.

I have significant concerns regarding the asset transfer of a large area within the park which I will outline below.

1. I am concerned about a high proportion of the small Lochwinnoch park being removed from public access and ownership. My children and I often use the wide open space offered by the fields to play rounders, other large area 'wide games' and fly kites and have picnics. The other areas of the park just are not as suitable as they have more obstacles (my child had to go to hospital after tripping into a metal bench flying a kite on the side of the park near the tennis/basket ball court)
2. I am concerned about who benefits from this transfer. The club have said they will benefit the community but there is nothing outlined concretely that shows me this is true. Only a small proportion of people play football and even then that is a small proportion of the daytime that is needed - even if a team practices a couple times a week plus matches the space would be unused a large amount of time
3. I am unconvinced regarding the club saying they will allow access - I don't think a private group should be able to 'allow' access to a public space and what is to say they won't do what they have already done with the fenced off area and fence off and lock the space, if not now then in the future?
4. environmental concerns are at the forefront of my mind. The impact of replacing a grassed area with an all weather pitch is significant from my very small scanning of the research and no studies or impact assessments have been done
5. the field floods, this poses the question what happens if the pitch floods and all the small bits wash into the river? Will the flooding be worse without the natural plants to absorb the water?
6. What is the impact on the pre existing football pitches (both fields and all weather) in the village and surrounds. Beith really isn't far away for a full size all weather pitch and there is already a smaller one in lochbarr and a field by the road to the school. Is there not a better alternative to improve pre existing facilities rather than take public resources away for more mostly unused football grounds

I strongly oppose the community asset transfer and also the all weather pitch.

I look forward to hearing from you and what is being done going forward.

[REDACTED]

Sent from [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Community Asset Transfer](#)
Subject: CAT Calderglen playing fields representation - in FAVOUR July 2026
Date: 02 July 2026 06:57:45
Attachments: [external.png](#)

 External email >

Hi there my name is [REDACTED], I live at [REDACTED] I am a mother of 2 young boys and am writing IN FAVOUR of the CAT to Calderglen football club. I'm not involved in the club but admire what they do.

They are very much 'BY the community, FOR the community' and as such should be given their home turf and are trusted by many to use it well and for the good of the community.

<https://youtu.be/TY0AM0I068s>

This video represents all the good that another FAIRLY local team has done and brought to the area of Renfrewshire as a direct result of the club and the plethora of benefits such a football club can bring to the community cannot be argued with. Thorn athletic are also a prime example.

Kind regards

[REDACTED]

Sent from [REDACTED] iPhone

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Community Asset Transfer](#)
Subject: Calderglen football pitch
Date: 09 July 2026 09:32:49
Attachments: [external.png](#)

 External email >

I object for the following reasons:

75 years is far too long and none of the original members will be around any more to oversee the lease. Lochhead gardens lease 10 years why 75 years, unheard of in Renfrewshire. The lease should only be for 3 to 5 years max with a review after a few years that it is still beneficial for the whole of the community.

Calderglen will restrict access to the pitches as they did before. It should be open to the community when not in use. Calderglen only use the pitch on match days, training sessions take place on the unfenced pitch at the public park. The vast majority of time the pitch is unused. Why should the majority of the village be denied access for a few dozen people.

Future development without proper community consultation (eg lighting, further fencing)

Calderglen container is on community land which is designated for community use.

The 75 year lease has not been properly communicated from Calderglen or Lochwinnoch CC.

May I add that Calderglen didn't use the pitch for nearly a year while resubmitting their CAT. If the gates had remained closed this would have denied the community from a much valued green space.

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Sent from my iPhone

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Community Asset Transfer](#)
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: Calderglen CAT Request Representation
Date: 06 July 2026 19:00:00
Attachments: [external.png](#)

 External email >

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

I oppose granting a 75-year Community Asset Transfer (CAT) lease to Calderglen SCIO for the following reasons:

1. No demonstrated need for a CAT

The club already uses the pitch under existing arrangements. Calderglen already have a fenced grass area for football; a CAT does not appear necessary to maintain the status quo. What materially changes under a 75-year CAT compared with their previous lease?

2. Excessive and unjustified lease length

Other recent CATs in Renfrewshire have been granted for **10 years**, not 75 (e.g., Lochhead Gardens). Why the exception for Calderglen? A 75-year term assumes succession of the club far beyond the lifespan of current trustees. A 3–5 year review clause would ensure the transfer continues to benefit the wider community, including to ensure the club becomes (as promised) more inclusive beyond a single adult male team.

3. Risk of restricting public access

The main applicant has stated he will “possibly” lock the gates. Why does he have the right to do this? How does this fit with the idea of community benefit? The problems stated by the club which require the need for fencing (electric bikes, horses) could be addressed with alternative gate designs that still allow public access

4. Siting of the container

The container sits on land protected for public recreation, and its placement obstructs access to the Annexe and could hinder future development or maintenance, including utilities. The Annexe is an eyesore, and some joined up thinking around developing/replacing that would have been preferable to another eyesore placed beside it.

5. Poor communication and lack of transparency

Calderglen did not inform the public of their intention to seek a 75-year lease, this only becomes clear when accessing the online application. This is an imbalance of information and will result in less people engaging in the public consultation phase we are currently in. Also, Renfrewshire Council omitted the lease length from public posters, despite including irrelevant details such as the club's age, this is unfair and biased towards the club and against the community whose opinions ought to be encouraged. Also, public engagement by Calderglen prior to the submission of their application was inadequate, with only one week's notice for the club's public meeting.

6. Concerns about future development

Any future proposed changes (lighting, more fencing, further access restrictions) should go for community consultation. The initial fence and container planning application affected a public area but only a small number of neighbours were notified. This may have been technically all that was required, but both Calderglen FC and Renfrewshire Council must surely realise this was not in the spirit of community engagement, it was frankly a sneaky way to go about such a material change to a public space.

Overall Conclusion

As a daily visitor to the park as many people are, it is apparent to me that this CAT, if it was approved, would prioritise an organised group over the general public, who very likely outnumber Calderglen's usage of the park if annual usage by ALL park users were to be measured and quantified. For the reasons I've given, I believe the proposed 75-year Community Asset Transfer is neither necessary nor proportionate and Renfrewshire Council should not recommend this CAT in its current form.

Regards



From: [REDACTED]
To: [Community Asset Transfer](#)
Subject: Calderglen CAT Request Representation
Date: 07 July 2026 10:09:43
Attachments: [external.png](#)
[Calderglen CAT Request Representation PDF.pdf](#)

 External email >

Please find attached my Representation for the above CAT Request.

If you require the document in a different format please do let me know by July 9th 2026

Many thanks.

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Calderglen CAT Request Representation

From:

Received by email 07/07/2026



Dated 06 July 2026

I object to the transfer of the land in any form including the grant of a 75 year lease. The use of the land should remain as it is now with the football club continuing the existing arrangement they hold with Renfrewshire Council.

In addition the Steel container Changing rooms should be removed.

Reasons for Objection:

I have reservations and concerns over much of the CAT request and particularly stress inter alia the following aspects of objection. I would request these main points should be taken fully into regard by Renfrewshire Council in their consideration to accept the request or not and, if so accepted, what amendments should be made:

1. Changing Room Unit

The site of the steel container proposed for the changing rooms is in a very disruptive position and should not be transferred/leased at all. If approved the unit should be relocated to the proposed pitch area, away from the Annex.

Note: This objection is based on **"A negative Impact on The Wider Community."**

2. Reason for Lease and Length of Lease on Pitch

I cannot see any advantage to the Council, the Local Community or even the Football Club to move away from the existing agreements for the club to use the pitch area. If a lease is required I object to the length of lease.

The length of lease requested is far too long and should be reduced to 5 years renewable to retain proper control by the Council of the use of the site and to enable taking back the site when needed for other essential sports /recreation services.

Note this objection is based on **"Loss of essential services over a long period"**

3. CAT process was not applied in the interest of the Community

The CAT process both Pre-Validation and Post-Validation (Representation period) has been very limited in its "Community Involvement" aspects.

Note: This objection is based on **"CAT Process was not followed correctly"**

4. Lochwinnoch Local Place Plan

The proposals outlined under the CAT request are completely at odds with the spirit and content of the recently adopted Lochwinnoch Local Place Plan.

Note: This objection is based on “**Negative Impact on Local and wider Community**”

Reasons for concerns and representation are as follows:

1. Changing Room Unit.

The site chosen for the changing rooms is located in a position which will severely inhibit and restrain any future redevelopment, refurbishment or full maintenance of the Annex, all of which will be required in the short term.

The Annex is situated to serve the whole of the playing fields from which it is now visually isolated by the existing, totally inappropriate style and location of the container.

Any drainage connections made to the existing sewage and surface water drainage installation used by the Annex will be overloaded by further additional drainage connection. This will limit any redevelopment.

Added to this, should any new drains pass UNDER the annex this will considerably further inhibit and jeopardise any future replacement, redevelopment or major refurbishment of the Annex.

Solutions to Changing room issue:

Ideally the existing changing rooms in the Annex, which have fallen into disrepair due to historical drainage issues, could and should be refurbished and modernised to enable full use by the community and the football club.

If that is not feasible for any reason then the Annex could and should be extended in proper building materials to provide changing facilities for community and club use. This will obviate the need for a temporary and frankly inappropriate structure where it is and fully enable the annex to do its job.

From an estate management point of view the location of the changing rooms, if still required, should be within an area of the pitch and under the same lease as the pitch rather than in a fragmented, small remote area. The drainage and utility connections

would be easier and not directly interfere with any future proposals for the improvement, refurbishment or redevelopment of the Annex itself.

2. Reason for Lease and length of Lease On Pitch area:

A lease of 75 years duration will mean that if the Football club do not carry out their offer to maintain full community use of the playing fields it will be difficult for the Council to curtail and break the lease during those 75 years without recourse to litigation.

A far better solution would be to continue with existing agreements or to grant a 5 year lease term properly setting out the obligations of the leaseholder (football club) and for this lease to be only renewable if all obligations under the lease have been adhered to. The occupation by the club will be subject in this way to better control and there would be far better protection of the community rights.

The lease must have extensive and suitable conditions and restrictions setting out what can and cannot be done on the leased area including inter alia:

The Lease must prohibit the use of the land or the lease from being used as any form of collateral or guarantee for finance loans at any time.

Reason: It seems that the Club suggest they need a long lease to enable them to get finance from external sources and therefore this restriction is crucial (Default in paying any secured loans could lead to the lease and site falling into other institutions hands).

Restrictions on making any alterations such as flood-lighting installations, astro turf installation, installation of drainage which will effect the adjoining areas by exposing them to drainage run off must be avoided etc etc.

Cost Contributions to the other areas of the playing field facilities must also be included eg car park maintenance and repair, path way lighting etc and certainly any costs resulting from over use of the drainage system must be recoverable under the terms of the lease.

Overall title reservations and concerns:

Much of the Lochwinnoch Playing fields has strong restrictions in the title of the land by which Renfrewshire Council became responsible for the whole Plying Fields site. The grant of any lease to a third party MUST follow those covenants . (The football club should be alerted to these restrictions).

3. CAT Process and Management by Renfrewshire Council:

One of the pre-requisites of a successful CAT request is to prove the requested asset is not in proper and full use by the Local Community - In my opinion the CAT should fail on this ground (as well as many other grounds) as the Playing fields are regularly and often intensively used by the Community for the purposes of general recreation.

Comment:

“ The Council should indicate their decision that the site is not in full use for its intended purposes and provide the data on which this decision is based.”

Rental Offered:

The rental offered by the applicant at £1 p.a must be verified under Financial Statute as “Best Value” by independent valuers by both the Council and the applicant. I can see no evidence that this task has been undertaken by either party. The pitch area alone must have a greater rental value than £1 as is evidenced by the eagerness of the applicant to acquire it.

Comment:

“The Council should provide to the Community full evidence that they are obtaining “Best Value” for the land should the transfer proceed.”

Community Publicity to obtain “Buy In” to the proposal:

The Local Community have not been properly advised of the existence or the content of this CAT request during the pre Validation period. Many of the alleged meetings were not properly publicised on often used noticeboards, letter drops, regularly used facebook pages or with much notice. Thus many residents were not aware at all of the meetings taking place. The “public meeting” of 2nd June 2026 was not publicised anywhere at all, not even on the Lochwinnoch Community Council Agenda who were hosting the apparent meeting and only a small number of people from the Local Community (those who attend most of the LCC Meetings) attended that particular meeting. There were however a number of members of the applicant organisation in attendance as it was clear they had been informed in advance. This is the meeting which was NOT to discuss the particular current application as the attending CAT Officer made clear. **That meeting should not count as a proper communication by any party of the proposals indeed any such discussion was NOT allowed!**

CAT Process Post-Validation:

The erected signs do not enable most residents to understand the process for making representations - the signs are in particularly small print and the required web site address to obtain full details is hopelessly long and complicated. In fact, this web site for the first few days of the Representation period did not provide any information at all. This was later rectified.

No erected signs clearly indicated the request for a 75 years lease and the plans attached are not well indicative of the small area of site for the changing facility - indeed an aspect missed by many who concluded the application was only for the main pitch area as they had not seen the faint outline to the proposed changing room site.

The period of Representation permitted by the Council appears to be the absolute minimum rather than the much longer discretionary period allowed by Statute. Why?

Comment:

The Renfrewshire Council should re-issue the Validation notices with a longer period for representations and properly and manifestly indicate the sites requested being in two sections.

The method of obtaining full details and how to make representations should be published more widely in a better accessible form such as on the widely used Lochwinnoch COMMUNITY Chat Page on facebook rather than the very underused Lochwinnoch Community Chat page.

An open public advisory meeting would have been useful if the Council had arranged one to explain the fundamentals of the application and the Process of representation. This public meeting would ideally be held during the very early days of the Representation period following validation.

Conclusion on CAT Process:

The CAT process leading up to Validation by Renfrew was woefully short of Community publicity and should be repeated in accordance with procedures set out in the CAT guidelines.

A longer and better informed post Validation Representation period should be enabled by Renfrewshire out of courtesy to Local Residents.

Overall I object to the CAT transfer request as follows:

The Changing room site should be refused and/or alternative ways of improving the provision of changing facilities should be implemented.

Ideally No Lease should be granted on the Pitch area.

Any lease granted on the Pitch should be for a maximum of 5 years with restrictions and obligations.

4. Finally, the Adopted Lochwinnoch Local Place Plan:

The Lochwinnoch Local Place Plan adopted by Renfrewshire Council earlier this year clearly states under PS4 that the local community want to “Enhance and Preserve” the playing fields on which the requested area is sited and under F1 to Improve the Facilities in the Annex and to maintain and enhance the Annex.

The grant of a 75 year lease on any part of the Playing fields is indeed completely at odds with the LLPP. as it is for a period which will thwart the LLPP intentions on both elements of the proposal.

Comment:

“Renfrewshire Council should carefully consider the CAT application in light of the LLPP and further demonstrate how they have taken into consideration the LLPP in the decision making process either in acceptance, rejection or modification of the CAT request ”

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Community Asset Transfer](#)
Subject: Calderglen Football Pitch
Date: 08 July 2026 14:29:32
Attachments: [external.png](#)

 External email >

Extended lease for the football pitch currently leased by Calderglen FC.

I personally do not have a problem with the lease being extended, but not any longer than 10 years Max.

I would want no restricted access to the community when the field is not in use.

No locked gates except for the large double gate that allows access to any maintenance vehicles only.

I would like the field to remain grass and nothing else.

I would not like any further lighting added to the park due to additional light pollution.

Thank you



Lochwinnoch
Renfrewshire

[Sent from Yahoo Mail for iPhone](#)

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Community Asset Transfer](#)
Subject: Calderglen CAT Request Representation
Date: 08 July 2026 22:39:44
Attachments: [external.png](#)
[finance_warning.png](#)

🔗 External email >

🔗 Contains topics of a financial nature >

CAT Representation

I object to the transfer or grant of lease as it will result in the loss of public space services provided to the Local Community by Renfrewshire Council. The Playing fields are in full use currently and the grant of a lease to one group will restrict public access to a vital public space in the future. Why the club cannot continue with existing arrangements is not fully explained.

I object to the location of the steel container which is placed in a position which completely detracts from the Annex which is also a vital community space with its own changing rooms (they do need refurbishment).

I object to the grant of any lease or transfer on the site of the changing rooms proposed as this will jeopardise the redevelopment of the Annex in the future. The container must be removed. The transfer of this section of the Playing Fields will be a loss of Public Amenity and will be detrimental to the community use of the playing fields and the Annex in the future..

The Local Place Plan says the Playing fields and the Annex should be enhanced and protected and the CAT Transfer proposals do not accord with that plan. I therefore object to the transfer again on the basis of loss of public amenity and the clear terms of the Local Place Plan.

Please register my objection accordingly.

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Community Asset Transfer](#)
Subject: Calderglen CAT Request Representation
Date: 09 July 2026 11:32:52
Attachments: [external.png](#)

 External email >

I wish to OBJECT to the new request by Claderglen Football Club. Whilst the new proposal is much better than the earlier one to which there were a lot of objections from the community, this new one for 75 years is **far too long**. They claim all sorts of benefits to the community from the CAT, yet they have not delivered these benefits as far as I know. They should be given a maximum of five years to make good on those promises / aspirations and the case reviewed afterwards.

I think that they should also be made to take away the blue container which they have installed next to the Annexe without Planning Permission as far as I can ascertain.

Instead of acting with the co-operation of other sport facilities in the village for the benefit of all, they have decided to 'go it alone'.

Best wishes

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Community Asset Transfer](#)
Subject: CAT - Lochwinnoch Park / Calderglen Football Club
Date: 09 July 2026 11:53:39
Attachments: [external.png](#)

 External email >

Dear Sir / Madam,

Objection to CAT - Calderglen Playing Field Representation

I am writing to state my objection to the above named Community Asset Transfer that proposes to lease the ground to Calderglen Football Club for 75 years.

I am not opposed to the football club leasing the fenced ground but I am opposed to the length of the lease. The duration of 75 years seems unnecessarily long and unrealistic.

It is highly unlikely, nigh impossible, that anyone in Calderglen Football team that is responsible for signing this lease will be involved in the club or indeed alive in 75 years time. Will the club still be going in 75 years time? Who knows? What will happen to the ground if the club folds? Therefore it is surely more sensible to keep the lease to a more workable time frame.

As I understand it, Calderglen Football team has historically had its roots in Lochwinnoch. However, I don't believe that many, or indeed any, of the current players, coaches or board actually live in Lochwinnoch. To sign over a piece of ground for such a long time to people that don't actually reside in this village seems an irresponsible and odd thing to do.

The only other CAT that I am aware of in this village is Lochhead Gardens leased by LCDT and used by Lochwinnoch Community Larder. The Larder is used by hundreds of people within Lochwinnoch yet this lease is reviewed every 10 years. Calderglen Football Club benefits very few people (and hardly any in Lochwinnoch) yet they are asking for such a long lease. This to me is inconsistent and unfair policy and does not make sense.

I also feel that the placement of the "changing facilities" container is misplaced and should be moved next to the fenced off playing field. The big blue container is an eyesore plus the colours and branding attract sectarian vandalism (which incidentally has already happened).

I hope that you take the time to consider this matter seriously.

Yours,

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Community Asset Transfer](#)
Subject: Re: Lochwinnoch Park CAT
Date: 09 July 2026 17:25:40
Attachments: [external.png](#)

 External email >

Hi

Apols for not including previously,

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Trust that suits

[REDACTED]

On Thu, Jul 9, 2026, 17:22 Community Asset Transfer
<communityassettransfer@renfrewshire.gov.uk> wrote:

OFFICIAL

Dear [REDACTED],

I acknowledge receipt of your email below. Unfortunately I am unable to treat this as a formal representation as it does not include your full address. If you wish this to be recorded, please reply with your full name and address.

All personal information will be removed from representations before being published or passed to the CTB for a response

Regards,

Community Asset Transfer

Renfrewshire Council

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 09 July 2026 14:36
To: Community Asset Transfer <communityassettransfer@renfrewshire.gov.uk>
Subject: Lochwinnoch Park CAT

Hi

Just writing to note my concerns regarding the transfer of this asset, firstly on the basis of access or lack thereof for the public by the fencing off of a large percentage of the park.

Secondly I find it hard to justify the transfer of a community asset to a use that is primarily focused on one gender. Having read the application there is no mention of promoting women's football or mention of a LGBT acceptance or friendliness, its not even implied.

Most cities and towns in central Scotland are actively removing gender specific sports equipment (MUGA's etc) and replacing them with facilities that are more open to use by and friendlier for women and girls, i.e. Feminist Planning. This application and the segregation of a large part of the public park for the sole use of one gender seems to be a step backwards in that respect,

Further to this, and with a longer view for the village, the site remains the only viable option for a replacement Primary School or Community Hub in the medium to long term, giving an external organisation a form of control over that site may limit the viability and complicate the process in the future. There is always another football pitch further up the hill at Viewfield, an option which does not seem to have been explored in any form judging by the application.

Regards

[REDACTED]

Renfrewshire Council Website -<http://www.renfrewshire.gov.uk>

This email and any files transmitted with it are confidential and intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you have received this email in error please notify the system manager. Renfrewshire Council may, in accordance with the Telecommunications(Lawful Business Practice) (Interception of Communications) Regulations 2000, intercept e-mail messages for the purpose of monitoring or keeping a record of communications on the Council's system. If a message contains inappropriate dialogue it will automatically be intercepted by the Council's Internal Audit section who will decide whether or not the e-mail should be onwardly transmitted to the intended recipient(s).

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Community Asset Transfer](#)
Subject: Calderglen CAT Request Representation
Date: 09 July 2026 15:30:34
Attachments: [external.png](#)
[finance_warning.png](#)

 External email >

 Contains topics of a financial nature >

I object to the the 2026 Community Asset Transfer (CAT) application by Calderglen Football Club (FC) for the following reasons:

1. Inadequate public consultation

Calderglen FC's notification of the public meeting they held on 30 April 2026 was not sufficiently in advance as it relied on the May edition of the Chatterbox newsletter and social media, meaning many residents (including myself) were unaware of the meeting. Simple measures such as advance notices in the park and village noticeboard were not used.

Although concerns about inadequate consultation and land ownership were discussed at Community Council meetings in May and June 2026, the proposed 75-year lease was not made clear by Calderglen FC. The public notices placed by Renfrewshire Council in the park also fail to mention the lease length, making them highly misleading and likely to lead to underrepresentation of objections. Submission of the application during the Community Council's 3-month summer recess further limits public knowledge and discussion of the changed proposal.

These shortcomings continue a pattern of inadequate consultation surrounding previous CAT applications and developments in the park, badly undermining public confidence that local views are being properly considered.

2. Impact on the public park

Existing fencing has disrupted valued walking routes around the park, while shipping containers detract from its appearance, raising concerns about the park's long-term management and exacerbating long-term problems with the Annex building.

3. Concerns about 75-year lease

The application provides no clear justification for a 75-year lease when existing short-term lease arrangements have supported legitimate sport and recreation use. A lease of this length could reduce public oversight and allow Calderglen FC to revert to unwelcome developments —e.g. restricted access by locked gates, artificial pitch, floodlights or extended operating hours— that many residents have previously opposed.

4. Concerns about the CAT process

Renfrewshire Council's CAT process lacks the transparency of the planning system. Information is difficult to access, previous applications have been removed from the public record, and there are no clear reports of handling explaining how objections have been considered.

Previous Calderglen CAT applications, including extensive public objections and earlier administrative failings, remain relevant and should be publicly available. These issues have contributed to community division and consultation fatigue.

It also appears relevant that a CAT application relating to Ralston Community Sports Centre was rejected by Renfrewshire Council partly because of negative effects on community cohesion.

5. Conclusion

I think that Lochwinnoch Public Park should remain in public ownership for the benefit of all local people, with no potential restrictions on access, and no potential restrictions on local people retaining a proper say in what happens to all areas of the park. For the above reasons I think the Calderglen CAT application should be rejected (allowing existing lease arrangements to continue) or restricted to a relatively short-term lease (not more than 10 years with proper public consultation and review after 1-3 years).



From: [REDACTED]
To: [Community Asset Transfer](#)
Subject: Calderglen CAT Request Representation
Date: 09 July 2026 18:36:37
Attachments: [external.png](#)

#16

 External email >

To whom it may concern,

I am writing to oppose the CAT request relating to Lochwinnoch Public Park from Calderglen football club.

Calderglen initially and by faulty processes acquired the area in question.

The public park has seen an increasing number of users both from inside and outside the village due in large part to the increased use of the cycle path and the development of the new playground and leisure facilities. Restricting use of a large portion of land intended for public use and recreation impacts on users' health and wellbeing, one of the main principles behind the setting up of public parks.

This proposal will limit the number of users who can access this area of the public park, and decrease the facilities the public park offers. The fact that the club has already fenced off the area and has put locks on the gates has already seen this decrease in use. There is the possibility that the public park will receive fewer visitors due to this unwelcoming aspect, and one potential consequence is a loss of custom to local businesses. There is also the lack of community spirit in installing this fence and locking it.

This application goes against the principles behind the provision of public parks. They were intended for the use of the general public (the people of the community and visitors to it), to improve their health and wellbeing, to provide a healthier leisure option. There are also the added benefits of social connectedness and community connectedness to consider. This application impacts negatively on all of these generally acknowledged benefits. This application seems to be for the benefit of a smaller number to the detriment of a much larger number.

There are other options that Calderglen could consider. For example, there is the Viewfield Rovers football park that could easily sustain two clubs. The fact also that Calderglen has installed other structures in the park without allowing the community to challenge should also be considered.

This application is for a minority of people in the community, not the majority. I reject their request in totality.

Regards,

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Community Asset Transfer](#)
Subject: Calderglen CAT Request Representation
Date: 09 July 2026 19:15:51
Attachments: [external.png](#)

 External email >

I am writing to register my objection to a 75 year lease being granted to Calderglen SCIO via a community asset transfer for the following reasons:

There is no need for a CAT lease transfer. The club already uses the pitch under existing arrangements (for their adult male team). Calderglen already have a fenced grass area for football; a CAT does not appear necessary. What materially changes under a 75-year CAT compared with their current lease arrangement?

The length of the lease is excessive and unjustified. Other CATs in the local area have been granted for up to 10 years. A 3–5 year review clause should be included in any agreement to ensure the transfer does in fact benefit the wider community, (as promised) beyond a single adult male team.

There should be no restriction to public access (given the location is within the public park). The problems stated by the club which require the need for fencing (damage to playing surface by vehicles mainly) could be addressed with alternative gate designs that still allow free public access.

Calderglen did not inform the public of their intention to seek a 75-year lease, this only became clear when the application was published online. The omission in the length of the lease will result in less people engaging in the public consultation phase we are currently in. Renfrewshire Council omitted the lease length from their public information poster suggesting a bias towards the club - which, to be honest, has been felt by many throughout this whole process.

Public engagement by Calderglen prior to the submission of their application has been inadequate (again), with only one week's notice for the club's public meeting.

Renfrewshire council continue to maintain a football facility in Lochwinnoch called Viewfield. It has a fenced in pitch, 'dugouts' and a small serviced pavilion and parking - yet it's not been used by any team for over a year. Why is this

option not being investigated? Council officials, please advise what is happening with this ground - surely a lease for this facility should be offered to a local club?

Thank you to the board for taking the time to consider my objections /concerns.

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Lochwinnoch

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Community Asset Transfer](#)
Subject: Calderglen CAT Request Representation
Date: 09 July 2026 20:47:28
Attachments: [external.png](#)

 External email >

Calderglen CAT Request Representation

Dear Renfrewshire Council,

I wish to object to the proposed Community Asset Transfer of the football pitch and adjoining land through a 75-year lease at a nominal rent of £1 per year, or indeed an asset transfer.

I support the existence of the football club and recognise that organised sport is an important part of a healthy and vibrant public park. However, I do not believe that this requires the transfer of long-term control over a substantial part of Lochwinnoch's only public park to a single organisation.

Public parks exist for the benefit of everyone. Decisions about their use should remain under public stewardship so that all members of the community continue to have equal access and future generations retain flexibility over how these spaces are managed and developed.

The football club should be supported to have usable facilities without requiring exclusive control of the surrounding public land. A long-term lease of this nature effectively removes democratic oversight and significantly reduces the Council's ability to respond to changing community needs.

The application refers to maintaining community access and states there are no plans to lock the gates. However, the existing gates are routinely locked, giving little confidence that access will become more open in future. There is also no binding commitment regarding free public access to the facilities or the opening of the toilets for wider community events, despite opportunities such as school sports days where this would have been beneficial.

I am also concerned that substantial infrastructure, including the steel perimeter fencing and toilet block, was installed without meaningful public consultation. If these structures are to remain, they should be managed in the public interest rather than forming part of a privately controlled area of the park.

For these reasons, I ask the Council to refuse the proposed asset transfer and instead work with the football club to improve facilities while retaining the park under public ownership and management for the benefit of the whole community.

Yours faithfully,

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

From: [Jacob Kidd](#)
To: [Community Asset Transfer](#)
Subject: Calderglen CAT request representation
Date: 09 July 2026 21:21:33
Attachments: [external.png](#)

 External email >

Dear Renfrewshire Council,

I wish to object to the proposed Community Asset Transfer of the football pitch and adjoining land.

While I support the football club and recognise the value of organised sport, I do not believe this requires transferring long-term control of part of Lochwinnoch's only public park to a single organisation.

Public parks should remain under public stewardship for the benefit of everyone. A 75-year lease at a nominal rent would significantly reduce democratic oversight and the Council's ability to respond to future community needs.

Although the application states that community access will be maintained, the gates are already routinely locked, and there is no binding commitment to provide free public access to the facilities or toilets for wider community use.

I am also concerned that infrastructure, including the perimeter fencing and toilet block, was installed without meaningful public consultation. If these are to remain, they should continue to be managed in the public interest.

For these reasons, I ask the Council to refuse the proposed asset transfer and instead support the football club while keeping the park under public ownership and management for the benefit of the whole community.

Yours faithfully,



From: [REDACTED]
To: [Community Asset Transfer](#)
Subject: Calderglen CAT Request Representation
Date: 09 July 2026 21:21:33
Attachments: [external.png](#)

 External email >

Dear Renfrewshire Council,

I am writing to object to the proposed Community Asset Transfer of the football pitch and adjoining land at Lochwinnoch Public Park.

I support the football club and the important role it plays in the community. However, I do not believe that transferring long-term control of a significant section of the village's only public park is in the best interests of the wider community.

Public parks are shared spaces that should remain accessible and accountable to everyone. A 75-year lease at a nominal rent would hand control of public land to a single organisation for generations, limiting the Council's ability to respond to changing community needs.

The application states that public access will continue, yet the gates are already frequently locked, and there is no guarantee that facilities such as the toilets will be available for wider community events. This gives little reassurance that the space will remain genuinely open to all.

I am also concerned that the fencing and toilet block were introduced without meaningful public consultation. Decisions about permanent infrastructure within a public park should be made transparently and in the interests of the whole community.

I therefore ask the Council to reject the proposed asset transfer and instead continue to support the football club through arrangements that allow it to thrive while keeping the park under public ownership for everyone's benefit.

Yours faithfully,

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Community Asset Transfer](#)
Subject: Re: Calderglenn CAT Request Representation
Date: 13 July 2026 20:10:13
Attachments: [external.png](#)

 External email >

Thank you [REDACTED].

Apologies. My full address is:

[REDACTED]

On 13 Jul 2026, at 17:51, Community Asset Transfer
<communityassettransfer@renfrewshire.gov.uk> wrote:

OFFICIAL

Dear [REDACTED],

I acknowledge receipt of your email below. Unfortunately I am unable to treat this as a formal representation as it does not include your full address. If you wish this to be recorded, please reply with your full name and address.

All personal information will be removed from representations before being published or passed to the CTB for a response

Regards,
Community Asset Transfer
Renfrewshire Council

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 10 July 2026 07:31
To: Community Asset Transfer <communityassettransfer@renfrewshire.gov.uk>
Subject: Calderglenn CAT Request Representation

Good morning.

I am completely in favour of and therefore emailing to show my full support of Calderglen CAT Request Transfer.

The charity is run by a great group of people with Lochwinnoch Community and the Calderglen football team, which has been an important part of the community for many people for over 75 years, close to their hearts.

They have listened to the requests of the community and altered their plans accordingly. The Calderglen Charitable Committee are looking to continue to work with and serve the community in the future, are happy for others to use their facilities (including Gala Day which they have supported for many years) and are keen to support local projects to keep both adults and children active.

Calderglen AFC means a lot to many people in the village (holding fond memories for many) and I feel it is important to keep this village team local and keep it going. Home games draw in a good support and are good for local businesses and also the community spirit of Lochwinnoch.

Many thanks

[Redacted signature]

[Redacted contact information]

Renfrewshire Council Website -<http://www.renfrewshire.gov.uk>

This email and any files transmitted with it are confidential and intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you have received this email in error please notify the system manager. Renfrewshire Council may, in accordance with the Telecommunications(Lawful Business Practice) (Interception of Communications) Regulations 2000, intercept e-mail messages for the purpose of monitoring or keeping a record of communications on the Council's system. If a message contains inappropriate dialogue it will automatically be intercepted by the Council's Internal Audit section who will decide whether or not the e-mail should be onwardly transmitted to the intended

recipient(s).

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Community Asset Transfer](#)
Subject: CAT Calderglen Playing Fields Representation
Date: 10 July 2026 11:07:01
Attachments: [external.png](#)
[finance_warning.png](#)

📧 External email >

📧 Contains topics of a financial nature >

To whom it may concern

I wish to object to the proposed Community Asset Transfer lease for the football pitch and adjoining land within Lochwinnoch Park.

I would like to make clear that I support Calderglen Football Club and the important role it plays in our community. My child plays football, and I fully support investment in grassroots sport and improved facilities.

My objection is not to the football club or to the continued use of the pitch, but to the proposal to grant a 75-year lease over part of our public park.

A lease of this length is, in my view, excessive. While I appreciate that the club is seeking long-term certainty to support investment and fundraising, I do not believe this requires control of public land for the next 75 years.

Public parks are long-term community assets, and decisions about their use should be reviewed periodically to ensure they continue to reflect the needs of the community. A lease lasting three-quarters of a century leaves little opportunity for future generations or future Councils to reassess whether the arrangement remains appropriate.

I believe a maximum 10-year lease, with the option to renew following a review, would provide the football club with sufficient security while also maintaining appropriate public oversight. This would strike a fair balance between supporting the club and protecting a valuable public asset.

For these reasons, I ask Renfrewshire Council not to approve the application in its current form. If a lease is to be granted, I respectfully request that it be limited to a significantly shorter term, such as 5 or 10 years, with the opportunity for renewal subject to review.

Yours sincerely,

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Community Asset Transfer](#)
Subject: Lochwinnoch Public Park (Calderglen) CAT Application
Date: 10 July 2026 12:19:52
Attachments: [external.png](#)
[first_time_sender_warning.png](#)
[finance_warning.png](#)

 External email >

 First time sender >

 Contains topics of a financial nature >

I objected to the original proposal for Calderglen Football Club to acquire by transfer a lease on a substantial area of the public park in Lochwinnoch. Respite changes to the proposal, I am still opposed to the application, for essentially the same reason. This is publicly owned land and transfer to a private organisation is undesirable in principle. For comparison, a similar proposal has been advanced within a different local authority area for the site of the original Hamden Park to be transferred to an organisation which seeks to "preserve" it. Although both proposals appear to have superficial benefits, they both concern me for the same reason; the loss of land from the limited amount that there is under public ownership and control.

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Community Asset Transfer](#)
Subject: Calderglen CAT Request Representation
Date: 10 July 2026 15:15:09
Attachments: [external.png](#)
[first_time_sender_warning.png](#)

 External email >

 First time sender >

Hi

My name is [REDACTED] and I reside at [REDACTED] Lochwinnoch. I would like to object to the above application on the following grounds:

- loss of public space/amenity when the club already have access to the field and many other options in the village where they could play
- environmental if astro-turf is proposed
- the park delivers high public benefit as an open space which access should not be hindered for the benefit of a minority

Thanks

[REDACTED]

[Sent from Yahoo Mail for iPhone](#)

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Community Asset Transfer](#)
Subject: Calderglen CAT Request Representation
Date: 10 July 2026 18:22:52
Attachments: [external.png](#)

 External email >

Dear Renfrewshire Council,

I wish to object to the proposed Community Asset Transfer of the football pitch and adjoining land through a 75-year lease at a nominal rent of £1 per year, or indeed an asset transfer.

I support the existence of the football club and recognise that organised sport is an important part of a healthy and vibrant public park. However, I do not believe that this requires the transfer of long-term control over a substantial part of Lochwinnoch's only public park to a single organisation.

Public parks exist for the benefit of everyone. Decisions about their use should remain under public stewardship so that all members of the community continue to have equal access and future generations retain flexibility over how these spaces are managed and developed.

The football club should be supported to have usable facilities without requiring exclusive control of the surrounding public land. A long-term lease of this nature effectively removes democratic oversight and significantly reduces the Council's ability to respond to changing community needs.

The application refers to maintaining community access and states there are no plans to lock the gates. However, the existing gates are routinely locked, giving little confidence that access will become more open in future. There is also no binding commitment regarding free public access to the facilities or the opening of the toilets for wider community events, despite opportunities such as school sports days where this would have been beneficial.

I am also concerned that substantial infrastructure, including the steel perimeter fencing and toilet block, was installed without meaningful public consultation. If these structures are to remain, they should be managed in the public interest rather than forming part of a privately controlled area of the park.

For these reasons, I ask the Council to refuse the proposed asset transfer and instead work with the football club to improve facilities while retaining the park under public ownership and management for the benefit of the whole community.

All residents at this address oppose the CAT request - I will be emailing separately on their behalf, from this email.

Yours faithfully,

[Redacted signature]

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Community Asset Transfer](#)
Subject: Calderglen CAT request
Date: 10 July 2026 18:46:00
Attachments: [external.png](#)

 External email >

To:
communityassettransfer@renfrewshire.gov.uk

Calderglen CAT Request Representation

Dear Renfrewshire Council, firstly please accept this email as three objections from the three in my family, named at the end of this email.

I wish to object to the proposed Community Asset Transfer of the football pitch and adjoining land through a 75-year lease at a nominal rent of £1 per year, or indeed an asset transfer.

I support the existence of the football club and recognise that organised sport is an important part of a healthy and vibrant public park. However, I do not believe that this requires the transfer of long-term control over a substantial part of Lochwinnoch's only public park to a single organisation.

Public parks exist for the benefit of everyone. Decisions about their use should remain under public stewardship so that all members of the community continue to have equal access and future generations retain flexibility over how these spaces are managed and developed. The football club should be supported to have usable facilities without requiring exclusive control of the surrounding public land. A long-term lease of this nature effectively removes democratic oversight and significantly reduces the Council's ability to respond to changing community needs.

The application refers to maintaining community access and states there are no plans to lock the gates. However, the existing gates are routinely locked, giving little confidence that access will become more open in future. There is also no binding commitment regarding free public access to the facilities or the opening of the toilets for wider community events, despite opportunities such as school sports days where this would have been beneficial.

I am also concerned that substantial infrastructure, including the steel perimeter fencing and toilet block, was installed without meaningful public consultation. If these structures are to remain, they should be managed in the public interest rather than forming part of a privately controlled area of the park.

For these reasons, I ask the Council to refuse the proposed asset transfer and instead work with the football club to improve facilities while retaining the park under public ownership and management for the benefit of the whole community.

Yours faithfully,

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]



From: [REDACTED]
To: [Community Asset Transfer](#)
Subject: Calderglen CAT Request Representation – Urgent Objection
Date: 10 July 2026 19:50:45
Attachments: [external.png](#)
[finance_warning.png](#)
[alias_impersonation_warning.png](#)

 External email >

 Contains topics of a financial nature >

 This email shows signs of impersonation >

Dear Community Asset Transfer Team,

****RE: Calderglen CAT Request Representation – Objection****

****Asset:**** Calderglen Football Pitch and associated land, Lochwinnoch Public Park

****Applicant:**** Calderglen Football Club SCIO

I am writing to formally lodge my objection to the Community Asset Transfer (CAT) request submitted by Calderglen Football Club SCIO regarding the 75-year lease of the pitch and associated land within Lochwinnoch Public Park at a peppercorn rent.

As a local resident and taxpayer, I am deeply concerned that this proposal has even been progressed to the public consultation stage. I urge Renfrewshire Council to decline this asset transfer request based on the following grounds:

****1. Loss of Public Green Space and Community Cohesion****

Lochwinnoch Public Park is a vital, shared resource for our entire village. Securing an asset under a 75-year lease risks restricting open public access to a space heavily utilised by families, dog walkers, and informal community gatherings. Sectioning off public parkland primarily for the use of a single sporting organisation reduces the availability of the village's only open, multi-use green space of its kind.

****2. Disproportionate Lease Term****

A 75-year lease at a peppercorn rent is an excessively long commitment. It binds the community and future generations, effectively removing a public asset from direct council oversight and community-wide flexibility for three-quarters of a century.

****3. Direct Contradiction of Council Policies and Values****

I believe this asset transfer directly breaches Renfrewshire Council's own corporate commitments regarding equity, access, and the environment:

*** **Equity and Access:**** The Council's Asset Transfer Policy requires proposals to add value to the number of people benefiting. Privatising a public park space for a single club's primary use restricts access and creates an inequitable barrier for the wider Lochwinnoch community.

*** **Net Zero & Connected Communities:**** A 75-year lease violates the council's commitment to a "just transition" centred on community-wide health, wellbeing, and social justice for future generations.

*** **Environmental Resilience:**** Handing over direct management risks the long-term biodiversity of our public green space, running contrary to the "Resilient Place" goals outlined in the RenZero plan.

****4. Public Interest, Governance, and Mediation****

Given that Lochwinnoch Public Park is a formal public park intended to serve the *majority* of the village, the Council has a democratic duty to prevent public spaces from being monopolised by exclusive interests. Rather than allowing a single group to claim long-term control of a communal asset through persuasive lobbying, the Council should act as an impartial guardian of the public interest.

If there is a demonstrated need for facility improvements, the Council should actively

intervene to bring all local stakeholders, community groups, and sports clubs to the table. The Council must reject this exclusive 75-year lease and instead mandate a collaborative, mediated framework that forces all parties to work together in a fair, shared, and genuinely accessible way.

****5. Systematic Failures in Governance and Public Accountability****

This is the second time the community has had to expend significant time, energy, and resources to fight an application that patently contravenes the Council's own corporate policies. A previous unlawful attempt was only stopped because local residents intervened. It is entirely unacceptable that ordinary citizens must act as unpaid compliance officers simply to force the Council to adhere to its own rules.

Council employees are paid by taxpayers and have a clear code of conduct requiring them to exercise professional judgement, protect public assets, and serve the whole community. If ordinary citizens were not paying close attention, this non-compliant proposal would sail through. Corporate policies are not optional guidelines to be ignored or manipulated; they are binding frameworks. In any other professional environment, repeatedly ignoring core policy to the detriment of stakeholders would result in disciplinary action. The fact that this proposal was validated and pushed to consultation raises serious questions about whether the department responsible is breaching its own code of conduct and failing in its basic fiduciary duties.

****6. Formal Questions for the CAT Sub-Committee****

Before this application is progressed to a final decision, I request that the Council formally addresses and records answers to the following questions:

1. On what grounds does the Council believe that granting a 75-year exclusive lease to a single group represents a "just" and equitable distribution of public resources for the whole Lochwinnoch community?
2. Given that this application heavily contradicts the Council's explicit policies on community equity, open access, and the environmental resilience goals of the RenZero plan, why has this proposal not been flagged as non-compliant with corporate policy during the validation stage?
3. How will the Council ensure transparency by publicly detailing exactly how it weighed its own environmental and social justice policies against this application if the sub-committee decides to approve it?
4. What robust governance checks and democratic safeguards are in place to ensure that the CAT sub-committee is protecting the interests of the majority, and is not being disproportionately influenced or sidelined by the persuasive tactics of a single, well-connected organisation?
5. As Lochwinnoch Public Park is a formal public park, why has the Council not actively worked with all interested village parties to establish a collaborative, multi-user agreement rather than entertaining an exclusive 75-year lease?
6. Who within Renfrewshire Council is directly accountable for validating asset transfer applications, and what internal investigation will be launched into why a second application contradicting explicit council policy has been allowed to waste public administrative time and community resources?

For these reasons, the council must retain the land under direct public management for the unrestricted benefit of the whole community. I look forward to receiving your acknowledgement of this representation and the answers to the formal questions raised above.

Yours faithfully,

A large black rectangular redaction box covering the signature area.

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Community Asset Transfer](#)
Subject: Caldergleng CAT Request Representation
Date: 10 July 2026 21:30:55
Attachments: [external.png](#)
[finance_warning.png](#)

External email >

Contains topics of a financial nature >

I object to the the 2026 Community Asset Transfer (CAT) application by Caldergleng Football Club (FC) for the following reasons:

1. Inadequate public consultation

The public notices placed by Renfrewshire Council in the park also fail to mention the lease length, the proposed 75 years is not made clear. making them highly misleading. Submission of the application during the Community Council's 3-month summer recess further limits public knowledge and discussion of the changed proposal. The notice attached to a lamppost is surrounded by bushes and quite inaccessible to try and read and extract important information. There are plenty of lampposts that are easy to

2. Impact on the public park

Existing fencing has disrupted valued walking routes around the park, while shipping containers detract from its appearance, raising concerns about the park's long-term management and exacerbating long-term problems with the Annex building.

3. Concerns about 75-year lease

The application provides no clear justification for a 75-year lease when existing short-term lease arrangements have supported legitimate sport and recreation use. A lease of this length could reduce public oversight and allow Caldergleng FC to revert to unwelcome developments —e.g. restricted access by locked gates, artificial pitch, floodlights or extended operating hours— that many residents have previously opposed. There appears to be very little use of the space by the club, and little effort to engage the villagers, for example youngsters at the local school all train with teams outside the village.

4. Conclusion

I think that Lochwinnoch Public Park should remain in public ownership for the benefit of all local people, with no potential restrictions on access, and no potential restrictions on local people retaining a proper say in what happens to all areas of the park. For the above reasons I think the Caldergleng CAT application should be rejected (allowing existing lease arrangements to continue) or restricted to a relatively short-term lease (not more than 10 years with proper public consultation and review after 1-3 years).

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Community Asset Transfer](#)
Subject: Calderglen CAT
Date: 10 July 2026 21:44:47
Attachments: [external.png](#)
[finance_warning.png](#)

 External email >

 Contains topics of a financial nature >

I object to the the 2026 Community Asset Transfer (CAT) application by Calderglen Football Club (FC) for the following reasons:

1. Inadequate public consultation

The public notices placed by Renfrewshire Council in the park also fail to mention the lease length, the proposed 75 years is not made clear. making them highly misleading. Submission of the application during the Community Council's 3-month summer recess further limits public knowledge and discussion of the changed proposal. The notice attached to a lamppost is surrounded by bushes and quite inaccessible to try and read and extract important information. There are plenty of lampposts that are easy to access.

2. Impact on the public park

Existing fencing has disrupted valued walking routes around the park, while shipping containers detract from its appearance, raising concerns about the park's long-term management and exacerbating long-term problems with the Annex building. The current state of affairs seems to work therefore not sure of the benefit of the CAT and the need to change the status quo.

3. Concerns about 75-year lease

The application provides no clear justification for a 75-year lease when existing short-term lease arrangements have supported legitimate sport and recreation use. A lease of this length could reduce public oversight and allow Calderglen FC to revert to unwelcome developments —e.g. restricted access by locked gates, artificial pitch, floodlights or extended operating hours— that many residents have previously opposed. There appears to be very little use of the space by the club, and little effort to engage the villagers, for example youngsters at the local school all train with teams outside the village. object to the the 2026 Community Asset Transfer (CAT) application by Calderglen Football Club (FC) for the following reasons:

4. Conclusion

I think that Lochwinnoch Public Park should remain in public ownership for the benefit of all local people, with no potential restrictions on access, and no potential restrictions on local people retaining a proper say in what happens to all areas of the park. For the above reasons I think the Calderglen CAT application should be rejected (allowing existing lease arrangements to continue) or restricted to a relatively short-term lease (not more than 10 years with proper public consultation and review after 1-3 years).

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Community Asset Transfer](#)
Subject: Calderglen CAT Request Representation
Date: 10 July 2026 23:57:09
Attachments: [external.png](#)
[finance_warning.png](#)

🔗 External email >

🔗 Contains topics of a financial nature >

I firmly object to the proposed Community Asset Transfer of a substantial part of Lochwinnoch Public Park to Calderglen Community SCIO.

My principal objection is straightforward. Although never explicitly stated by the applicant, the application makes clear that their objectives involve restricting the public's long-established right to free and unrestricted access to the area of Lochwinnoch Public Park in question.

This is not a minor administrative change. It would result in a lasting reduction in the amount of freely available public open space within the park and replace an established public amenity with land managed by an organisation that is not democratically accountable to the wider community.

The land is currently a valued community resource

The application significantly understates how the site is currently used. It claims the area is "used largely for dog walking". That does not reflect reality.

The area in question is used every day by a wide range of residents for informal recreation, including children playing various sports, families playing games, walking, exercising and simply enjoying an open public space.

My own children have for many years regularly used this area to enjoy informal games of football and it is an essential resource for them to be able to develop their skills in the sport. Informal, self-directed play is fundamentally different from organised coaching. Children need places where they can play whenever they choose, without needing permission, membership or organised sessions. Removing that opportunity would represent a genuine loss to local families.

Existing users cannot simply move elsewhere

The applicant has suggested that current users should simply use other parts of the park. This is not a satisfactory answer.

Large grassed areas suitable for football and other ball games are limited. Much of the remaining park consists of uneven ground which is unsuitable for ball sports. The loss of the area in question would represent a significant and irreplaceable loss to the recreational value of the public park. For many who rely on this space for informal ball sports, it would represent the loss of the only suitable area in the park.

The promised public benefits are vague and unenforceable

The application attempts to justify the loss of public access by promising community benefits, including free "ad hoc mixed age group training sessions" and the possibility of introducing additional teams in the future. These promises are extremely vague. No timetable is provided. No commitments are made. No targets are set. No evidence is offered that these activities are actually needed or wanted by the community. There is also nothing within the application that appears to require these activities to be delivered on an ongoing basis.

In effect, the public is being asked to surrender access to public land in exchange for aspirations that are neither clearly defined nor, as a consequence, enforceable. That is not an acceptable basis upon which to dispose of community land.

Public benefit must be demonstrated

Community Asset Transfer legislation exists to enable genuine community benefit. The question is not whether Calderglen Community SCIO would benefit from acquiring this land. The question is whether transferring public land out of unrestricted public use would produce greater benefit for the whole community than retaining it as an open public park.

In my view, the application does not demonstrate this. Instead, it asks the community to give up access rights in exchange for uncertain future promises. That is not an appropriate balance.

The applicant has not demonstrated why the lease is necessary to deliver the claimed community benefits

A fundamental weakness in the application is that it fails to demonstrate any meaningful connection between the proposed lease and the community benefits that are relied upon to justify it.

The applicant states that it intends to offer activities such as free "ad hoc mixed age group training sessions", however, no explanation is given as to why these activities require exclusive control of part of Lochwinnoch Public Park.

Calderglen Football Club already uses the park for organised football. There appears to be nothing preventing the organisation from providing coaching sessions, establishing youth teams or expanding its community activities under the current arrangements, just as many sports clubs throughout Scotland do using publicly accessible facilities.

Before determining the application, the Council should require the applicant to explain precisely which proposed activities are dependent upon the lease, why they cannot be delivered under the current arrangements, and what evidence supports that assertion.

Informal recreation cannot be replaced by organised coaching

Even if the promises made by the applicant were fulfilled, organised football sessions are not an adequate replacement for unrestricted public access.

My own children already belong to football clubs. They are not looking for "ad hoc" mixed-age coaching sessions. What they need is exactly what they currently have: somewhere they are free to practise informally whenever they wish. Those opportunities are essential for children's physical development, confidence and enjoyment of sport. The proposal would remove those opportunities rather than enhance them.

The applicant's track record should be carefully examined

The Council should assess the application based not only on aspirations but also on evidence of what the organisation currently delivers.

The applicant states that its charitable purposes include organising football across multiple age groups, promoting participation and providing coaching opportunities for adults and children regardless of ability. However, the presented evidence makes clear that the organisation's only substantive football activity is the operation of a single adult men's football team.

Before reaching any decision, I believe Council officers should also require the applicant to provide clear evidence regarding:

- the number of youth, women's and girls' teams currently operating;
- the number of registered players in each team;
- the number of qualified and PVG-checked coaches registered with each team;
- participation figures for community coaching sessions over the last twelve months;

I believe a proper scrutiny of these matters will demonstrate that the proposed transfer is inconsistent with Renfrewshire Council's duties to promote equality and ensure that public assets are used in a way that benefits the whole community.

Conclusion

At its heart, this application seeks to bring about a fundamental change in the use of part of Lochwinnoch Public Park. For generations, this has been an open public space where all members of the community have enjoyed equal rights to use the land for informal recreation. The proposal would replace that principle with one in which a significant area of the park is managed primarily for the benefit of organised football under the control of a single organisation.

The Council is not being asked simply to support football. It is being asked to permanently diminish unrestricted public access to a public park in order to facilitate the activities of a single organisation. Those are two very different propositions.

I do not object to Calderglen Amateur Football Club continuing to use the park as they do at present. They have played football there for many years alongside other park users, sharing the space in the same way that everyone else does. That arrangement reflects the purpose of a public park: a shared community resource where no single group has priority over others.

If the organisation wishes to secure and develop facilities under its own control, there is a more appropriate alternative. Viewfield Park is an existing football facility within the village that is already intended for organised football. An asset transfer or long-term management arrangement at that site would preserve Lochwinnoch Public Park as open public space while allowing Calderglen to develop football facilities without removing established public rights or creating conflict with other park users.

If the Council nevertheless considers granting Calderglen greater control over the area within Lochwinnoch Public Park, I strongly believe any lease should contain clear and enforceable conditions, including as a minimum:

- The public's long-established right to free and unrestricted access to the site must be permanently protected.
- The land must remain available for informal public recreation whenever it is not in active use for organised activities.
- Any community benefits relied upon to justify the agreement should be clearly defined, measurable and enforceable, with regular review by the Council.
- The Council should retain the power to terminate the agreement if those public benefit commitments are not delivered.

The Council's overriding responsibility is to protect public assets for the benefit of the whole community. In my view, this application fails to demonstrate that transferring control of part of Lochwinnoch Public Park would achieve that objective. I therefore respectfully ask the Council to refuse the proposed Community Asset Transfer.

Yours faithfully,

A black rectangular redaction box covering the signature and name of the sender.

From: [REDACTED]
To: communityassettransfer@renfrewshirecouncil.gov.uk
Subject: Calderglen CAT Request Representation
Date: 11 July 2026 05:23:55

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

I am writing to strongly object to the current CAT application for Calderglen SCIO.

The whole process has been a sham from the get- go!

Whilst Calderglen have notably reduced their big ideas to secure the public park for themselves to serve a men's football team to the area which is currently fenced off now, plus the site where the big awful looking blue porta cabin is situated on.

I do not believe they need a CAT due to having a lease agreement in place already with Renfrewshire Council.

[REDACTED] made it clear they would be looking at a lease only which was music to the community's ears. Thinking this was the sensible and more balanced approach. What [REDACTED] did not disclose at the time was they would be putting in for a 75year lease which is absolutely absurd and again leaving the community feeling hoodwinked AGAIN!! The lease will outlive anyone involved on their board and possibly their players too. Dirty tactics making themselves look as though they were cooperating but actually failed to inform the community or community council of their 75year lease request. No transparency therefore no trust has been built up between Calderglen SCIO and the people of Lochwinnoch.

There is absolutely no need for the proposed lease to be 75yr.

There is 1 local bit of ground currently being used for the community larder which has a 10year lease only. This serves the whole community and is well used.

A 10year lease would be much more realistic and reasonable than 75yrs.

I have found the lack of engagement via social media on the main community chat page. They have put it on once.

[REDACTED] stated at a CC meeting they made a clear decision not to get involved with social media when actually they absolutely should have been putting themselves forward to answer questions and made it clear it was a 75yr lease. They legally should have been actively doing this. Instead they haven't therefore a lot of people within the community has not been reached or realise the full extent of their latest antics.

People in the community are actually worried and frightened to post anything about it due

to previous reactions from local supporters which means there has been a lack of community engagement.

There has been 1 community council meeting which people were invited to go along and chat to [REDACTED] from Calderglen SCIO. Very last minute and not enough time for people to attend.

It was made clear the gates which were illegally previously locked due them not owning the land. [REDACTED] spoke and told the CC attendees he can't guarantee they won't be locked again. (I have absolutely no doubt they'll definitely be locked if they secure this) how is this beneficial for the community as a whole?

There is already a fenced off area specifically for football in the heart of the village - Viewfield. I have recently heard that there are chats between the current lease holder, the council and another football team from Howwood to take this over. Why would this not have been the answer to Calderglen' request? It would make sense to share or pass this facility to them. There is no need for 2 facilities specifically for football.

The whole argument that they've played on this bit of land for 75years is ridiculous. So why not keep it as it already is? What's the need for the change? The community has been around a lot longer than 75years and will continue to be around when Calderglen isn't so that should be taken into consideration. It's ridiculous.

Dog fouling - this gets brought up continuously. Anyone playing any sport or using the park facilities I.e. the school, child carers, community groups ALL have to risk assess the land prior to use for not just dog foul, broken glass and litter etc. that's part and parcel of using public land. It's not a reason to fence off and lock gates.

Lochwinnoch streets also have a problem with dog fouling. Does this mean we fence off all the pavements? Keep the gates open for the community.

Bikes/ scooters, quad bikes etc - there has to be another solution to stop them churning up the grass instead of locking a whole community out of the fenced off area using padlocks. How does this serve the local community?

I've only ever seen the bottom half of the park with tyre marks on it.

The bright blue porta cabin is an eyesore.

It would be better if Calderglen SCIO could work with the current annexe lease holder to work in partnership. Surely, they can come to an agreement to secure a lease, apply for funding to upgrade the facilities which they previously used for years and remove that blue container from public land. It looks awful.

The council have failed the community as they should be impartial but have a clear bias for Calderglen. The whole process was initially hurried through. Had a member of the community not exposed this then the deal would have been done right under everyone's noses.

Where is the transparency and trust?

The council omitted the 75year lease proposal from public notices.

I hereby ask the council to object to this CAT

Regards

A solid black rectangular box used to redact the sender's name and signature.

Sent from [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Community Asset Transfer](#)
Subject: CAT application
Date: 11 July 2026 17:04:09
Attachments: [external.png](#)
[first time sender warning.png](#)

#32

🔗 External email >

🔗 First time sender >

Acknowledged Received 10/7/26

Please note this email was sent on the 10th of July but was sent back today.



I am writing to strongly object the current CAT application for calderglen SCIO.
A 75 year lease is excessive and as the lease will outlive most, if not all, of the players in the team.

Regards [REDACTED]

Asset Transfer Request for Calderglen Football Pitch and Changing Facility, Lochwinnoch Park

Calderglen Community SCIO - Response to Representations

We would like to thank everyone who took the time to engage with the Community Asset Transfer consultation process and submit feedback. The responses received have been carefully considered by the trustees of the Calderglen Community SCIO. We took a very different approach to this year's process. Conscious of the friction that existed in last year's consultation process, we intentionally didn't encourage any of our members or supporters to respond during the open window.

We would like to take the opportunity to provide a little detail in our response to the key points that were raised.

Length of the proposed 75-year lease

The scope of the application covers the existing football pitch area and the ground that the new modular changing room sits on. Our summary Calderglen history document outlines the history of how the pitch came into existence. It was Calderglen founders that converted what was grazing land into a football pitch. Over the last 76 years we have leased and helped maintain the area in a way that has allowed generations of people in the village to play football there. Having seen multiple generations take responsibility for the land over the last 76 years, we do not view it as controversial that we ask that we retain responsibility for it over the next 75 years.

It's also worth pointing out that the original plan was to offer to buy the ground. Having listened to the feedback during the consultation process, we changed to instead request a long-term lease agreement. A hugely important factor in looking at the nature of the lease was our ability, as a charity, to raise funds in future. Almost all organisations that provide grant opportunities to charities like us will look for certainty and longevity in any ownership or lease structure before committing funds to any initiative.

Loss of public access and public ownership

Some felt that the transfer would reduce unrestricted access to a public area of the park and prioritise organised football over informal recreational uses such as walking, children's play, picnics, kite flying and casual sports.

The two football pitch areas have been paid for use sport rental areas for many years. Indeed, as stated earlier, we have paid for use of the top pitch area for the last 76 years.

We amended the scope of our application to leave the areas outside of the pitch area untouched. This leaves most of the public park area for any other informal recreational use of any type.

When looking at the existing pitch area, it is also worth pointing out that we removed the padlocks to all pedestrian gates in June 2025. Anybody that frequents the park will understand that a huge number of walkers now use the pitch area. We have never had any problem with that but have had to frequently remind dog walkers of their responsibility to lift dog waste. The pitch area has become a favoured destination for people with dogs with poor recall. There are lots of examples of people using the area responsibly which is great to see but this increased traffic has unfortunately led to an increase in dog fouling in the area. We are regularly having to remove dog waste from the playing surface which is both a risk to the players and an unpleasant experience for those of us that must remove it.

There was also a suggestion that we have extended the green fence towards the river, this is simply not true. There has been no additional work carried out since local business Alter installed the fence.

The photos below demonstrate clearly that all access gates and corner areas are heavily used. There are **five** entry and exit points currently being actively used around the pitch. They are what I think everyone would agree – well trodden paths.

Pedestrian gate and walk around point at cycle path end of pitch



Pedestrian gate and walk around point at river Calder end of pitch



The above photo on the right is the area where the fence runs towards the river and clearly shows that it is heavily used and has never been extended.

Middle of pitch pedestrian gate



Existing arrangements are seen as adequate

Some raised the question of why a CAT is necessary when Calderglen already uses the pitch under existing agreements.

Over the last two years we have invested circa **£150,000** into making our sports and recreation facilities better. All that money has come from donations from members or support from corporate organisations. That approach unfortunately only has very limited scope for future funding. There is only so far that we can go with asking our members or our corporate contacts for funding. We aspire to continue to raise significant funding to continue to improve facilities and to make a greater range of sport and recreation initiatives available to people in the village and surrounding area. Doing that requires greater use of charity grant opportunities. Our ability to do that is greatly enhanced with the longevity and certainty that comes with a successful CAT decision.

The consultation process

A handful of objectors commented that the public was not adequately informed or aware of the proposal and suggested that community engagement was insufficient.

A great deal of planning went into our consultation process, a process that included:

- Sharing the scope of our CAT plans on social media (including Community pages) at the end of **April 2026**
- Running an open viewing session at our new changing rooms on Sunday **26 April** 11.00 am to 12.00

- Sharing a full update about our planned application via the end of **April** Chatterbox
- Running an open meeting to answer any questions at the Lochwinnoch Bowling Club on Thursday **30 April** at 7.30 pm
- Carrying out a formal presentation to the Lochwinnoch Community Council on Tuesday **5 May**
- Attending the Lochwinnoch Community Council meeting on Tuesday **2 June** to answer any new questions
- Providing a further update about the amended scope of our plans on community social media pages in the week running up to the Gala Day on **13 June**. We pointed out that several of our trustees would be at the Gala Day and happy to answer any remaining questions.
- **6 July 2026** – the Daily Record ran an article on the planned CAT application.
- **7 July 2026** – the Renfrewshire Gazette ran an article on the planned CAT application.
- We also agreed with a request to extend the Council consultation process that meant the window for feedback remained open beyond the normal 20 working days to **13 July 2026**.

Container changing facility

Some responses commented on the container obstructing access to future redevelopment of the Annexe building with some suggesting refurbishment of the Annexe would be preferable.

Achieving what Calderglen has over the last 76 years has not been easy. It takes huge commitment from a long list of people to continue to make sport and recreation opportunities available. It has also afforded us the opportunity to learn from our mistakes. One of those lessons is that it is much easier to make opportunities available when you are 100% in control of your own facilities. It is sad to say that, for the first time in over 100 years, we have no Saturday afternoon football currently being played anywhere in the village. Depriving many locals of the opportunity to watch the team and of course local businesses from the money that comes with bringing people to the village. The main blockage for us is the availability of a fully functioning changing facility. Something that we are working on. Across our history we have twice been able to benefit from our own changing facilities, something that we now know is crucially important to delivering and maintaining a successful Calderglen in the village.

Previous Calderglen facilities have a long history of actively being used by other organisations in the village. We very much want the new facility to be viewed and used in the same way. It was great to see an initial small window into such use with the Gala Day committee using the changing unit to store their furniture, table and chairs in the days up to and after the Gala Day.

In terms of questions about the position of the unit – this was simply decided upon based on the easiest access to water, waste and power facilities. There was a suggestion that it would block any future extension of the Annexe. It is incredibly difficult to evidence this suggestion. Apart from anything else, extending towards the changing unit would suggest extending across the main access path to the Sustran ‘cycle path’. Something that, bearing in mind the traffic on it, would be difficult to achieve.

Environmental and future development concerns

Some responses raised concerns about the potential future installation of artificial (all-weather) surfaces, microplastic pollution, artificial light pollution, flooding and drainage impacts.

On current estimates, building any decent all-weather facility would have cost around **£1 million**. Raising that sort of money for a small charity would have taken years. Having reflected on the feedback received during previous consultation, we took the decision to drop plans for an all-weather pitch and focus instead on improving what we currently have and investing in improving the existing grass pitch and a variety of new sport and recreation initiatives within the village. There are no plans at all to look at an all-weather facility or to consider floodlights.

Flooding is always a risk in a village like Lochwinnoch but it's worth pointing out that there is nothing in our plans that will make that risk worse. Whilst flood water does not typically affect the pitch playing area, we have plans to make significant investment in improving the drainage on the grass pitch area to help improve the quality of the playing surface.

Conflict with local planning aspirations

Some representations felt that the proposal conflicts with the Lochwinnoch Local Place Plan.

We have read the Local Place Plan in full and see nothing that conflicts with our plans. If anything, our plans are entirely consistent with the aim of improving, preserving and enhancing facilities in the village.

In summary

Calderglen has been part of life in and around the public park for more than 75 years and remains committed to promoting participation in sport, recreation, health and wellbeing for people of all ages. Our charity is volunteer-led and operates on a not-for-profit basis, ensuring that all funds raised are reinvested into community benefit.

As we celebrated our 75th anniversary we had the opportunity to reflect on the contribution of generations of volunteers, players and supporters. We remain committed to working collaboratively with the community to improve local sporting facilities and opportunities. The revised Community Asset Transfer proposal reflects what we have learned from the consultation process and demonstrates our willingness to listen, adapt and respond positively to community concerns.

We hope that stakeholders will consider the revised application on its merits and recognise its potential to secure long-term investment in local sport and recreation while preserving community access and community benefit.

Benefits of the Revised Community Asset Transfer Proposal

- **Protects the wider public park** by removing the middle sports and recreation area from the transfer proposal. The application now relates only to the existing Calderglen pitch area and the new changing rooms. This directly addresses one of the main concerns raised during the previous consultation.
- **Maintains community access** by retaining public use of the main areas of the park while continuing Calderglen's long-established use of the existing pitch area.
- **Responds to community feedback** by changing the proposal in several ways, providing reassurance that the asset remains protected while supporting our aspirations for long-term investment and improvement.
- **Drops plans for an all-weather pitch**, removing concerns about cost, scale and impact. The focus is now on improving the existing grass pitch, drainage and other community sport and recreation opportunities.
- **Secures investment in local facilities** through a volunteer-led, not-for-profit charity that reinvests all surplus funds into sport, recreation and community benefit activities.
- **Builds on a proven track record.** Calderglen has operated from the public park for more than 75 years, contributing financially to the use and upkeep of the facilities while providing sporting opportunities across many generations.

The Trustees of the Calderglen Community SCIO